Aspects in AspectJ

- Motivation
- Aspect Oriented Programming: a brief introduction to terminology
 Installation
- •Experimentation
- •AspectJ some details
- •AspectJ things you should know about but we dont have time to cover in detail
- •AspectJ profiling problem





"I like your attitude, Harris."

"Ability is what you're capable of doing. Motivation determines what you do. Attitude determines how well you do it." **Lou Holtz**

Even well designed systems have aspects that cut across a large number of the components

For example, consider Apache Tomcat, where certain aspects of functionality are:

- •XML parsing
- •URL pattern matching
- •Logging
- Session Management

Question: which of these are *well localised*?



XML parsing



Logging





Session Management: different types

- •Application Session
- •Server Session
- Standard Session
- Managers

So the code for each session stage should be well localised?

Session Expiration: code is distributed through lots of different classes

ApplicationSession

ServerSession

and the second s	
	Los Martines
	1000
1	
Bart of Castron	
	1000.000/

StandardSession

	1.00	The second se
		a sea a s
-		
-		and the second se
and the second sec		and the second se
and the second se	The subscription of the local division of th	and the second se
and the owner of the owner.		
_		The second se
7		the second second second second
States and the second		Contract of the local division of the local
and the second second	the second se	the second second
the second second	and the second second	States, Married States and
and the second second	And in case of the local division of the loc	
1	the local division of	- Contraction of the local division of the l
1	State of Lot of	the second s
	And the second se	I STATISTICS IN COMPANY OF A
	the second second	
the states	and the second se	
and the second s		Contraction of the local division of the loc
	1	the second second
		States, Married States, States
	24	and the second se
	No. of Concession, Name	
244		
and the second s		-
the second se	-	State of the local data
24.4	the state of the state of the state	the second se
the second se		the second second second
No. of Concession, Name		Statement and
COLUMN TWO IS NOT	The Name and Address of the Owner, which the Party of the Owner, which the	
	Automotive at	the second se
Children and the second		· Contraction of the
		and the second s
the second se	100 Aug. 100	The second second
the second s		
Concession of the local division of the loca		
and the second second		······
	and the second s	discourse of the second second
	the second s	Contraction in the local division of the
		the second second second
		Sec. Management and
744	M.	the second se
	A 84 1	and the second second
111		and the second second second
and the second second	A	and the second se
the second se		the second se
_		And in the local division of the local divis
-		The other division in which the real works in the local division i
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Statistics.
-		-
_		
		- Contractor

SessionInterceptor

Tables and	
Statement of the	

ServerSessionManager

StandardManager

nager StandardSessionManager

.

Session Expiration: a better design?

ApplicationSession

StandardSession

200-cm - 1			-
	to shine		_
1	the second is		韁
1		1	1
ServerSe	ession		-
			-
	and the second s		

	C14	The second se
	of the designation of the local division of	and the second se
	100-01	Contraction of the local division of the loc
-		from Million all date dates
		ALC: NOT THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNE
	A1	and the second se
Ε.	the second second	
	Contractory of the local division of the loc	Charles and the state of the st
	the state of the local division of the local	Statement of Statements
	Contraction of the	and the second second
		the second second
	A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC	
		a female second
-	_	I MANUAL MARKED IN
	All second se	
		The second se
	And in case of the local division of the loc	
_	and a second sec	State of Sta
	and the second se	Contraction of the local division of the loc
_		
	termine the second s	
	The Real Property lies and	The second se
		The second s
		the state of the local division of the local
	and the second se	and the second second
		and the second second
		a Manufacture of the local division of the l
		and a state of the
-		
_		St
	and the second se	and the second distance of the
-	State State States	The second s
-	The second se	The Party of the lot o
		and the second second second
		and the second second
	Name of Concession, or other	of the local division of the
	statement of the local division of the local	
-	THER. LANSING MICH.	3ee
-		
	A COLUMN TWO IS NOT	and the second se
-	the second se	the statements
-	and the second se	the second state and
-	the second se	And Address of the Ad
•		
	Concernance of the local division of the loc	the state of the second se
	States and a state of	
	PROPERTY INCOME.	And the second second
		and the second sec
-	Contract of the local division of the	
	The other states	and the second sec
-	the second second	
	State of the local division of the local div	the second se
-	and the second	Contraction of the local division of the loc
-	distant and the second	
	THE DR. THE	
	and the second second	
		Nu
_	Support States	THE R. LANSING MICH.
	A REAL PROPERTY.	-
-	the second s	Value of the local division of the local div
		24
	-	and the second second
	States and in case of	And the lot of the lot
-		and the second s
-		
	Charlest range of the	
	to the party of the local division of the	and the second second
	statistics as an extension	and the second second



StandardManager

SessionInterceptor

ServerSessionManage

StandardSessionManager

Unfortunately, if we do this we will have to compromise by redistributing previously localised code.

November 2017

CSC7336: AspectJ (J P Gibson)

Separation of Concerns

is a time-honoured principle of Software design

D. Parnas. On the Criteria to Be Used in Decomposing Systems into Modules. Comm. ACM 15, 12 (December 1972), 1053-1058.

Design principles for decomposition:

- •Information-hiding modules
- •Identify design decisions that are likely to change.
- •Isolate these in separate modules (separation of concerns)

Cross-Cutting Concerns

In the motivation,

- XML parsing and URL pattern matching *fit* the class hierarchy,
- Logging and Session Management do not.

A *cross-cutting concern* is one that needs to be addressed in more than one of the modules in the hierarchical structure of the software.

Cross-cutting concerns are also called *aspects*.

What is an aspect depends on the chosen decomposition!

Problems with Cross-Cutting Concerns

Cross-cutting concerns pose problems for standard, e.g. OO, programming techniques:

hard and error-prone to introduce in an existing systemhard to change afterwards

•hard to understand/explain to newcomers

Cross-cutting implementation of cross-cutting concerns does *not provide separation of concerns*.

Solutions

Possible treatment of cross-cutting concerns:

Refactor them away.

Change the module hierarchy so that the aspect becomes modular, often through application of adequate design patterns.

But:

- often performance penalties through indirection
- often leaves some cross-cutting boiler-plate
- can't hope to capture all aspects

A programming methodology is called *Aspect-Oriented* if it provides possibilities to cleanly separate concerns that would otherwise be cross-cutting.

There are various Aspect-Oriented methods. They differ in the kinds of aspects they can address and in the ways aspects and their relation to the chosen hierarchical decomposition are expressed.

Don't Forget That Good Design Helps Avoid Cross Cutting: so only use Aspects if they are really needed, and not just because the design is bad!

Example of Good Design – *The Law of Demeter*:

An object should only call methods on **this**, instance variables, method arguments.

no this.getWife().getMother().getMaidenName() chains.

Prevents dependency on too many other classes.

The "Law of Demeter" provides a classic solution, see:

Lieberherr, Karl. J. and Holland, I. Assuring good style for object-oriented programs IEEE Software, September 1989, pp 38-48

Join Points

Analyse commonly occurring aspects.

Cross-cutting implementations can often be formulated in terms like:

- •Before . . . is called, always check for . . .
- If any of . . . throws an exception, . . .
- Everytime . . . gets changed, notify . . .

Implementations of aspects are attached to certain points in the Implementation, eg: •method calls

- •constructor calls
- •field access (read/write)
- •Exceptions

These correspond to point in the dynamic execution of the program. Such points are called *join points*

Code Example: Figure Editor (from http://eclipse.org/aspectj/doc/released/progguide/)



Code Example: Figure Editor

```
class Line implements FigureElement {
 private Point p1, p2;
 Point getP1() { return p1; }
 Point getP2() { return p2; }
 void setP1(Point p1) { this.p1 = p1; }
 void_setP2(Point p2) { this.p2 = p2; }
  void moveBy(int dx, int dy) { p1.moveBy(dx,dy); p2.moveBy(dx,dy); }
}
class Point implements FigureElement {
 private int x = 0, y = 0;
  int getX() { return x; }
  int getY() { return y; }
 void setX(int x) { this.x = x; }
 void setY(int y) { this.y = y; }
  void moveBy(int dx, int dy) { x += dx; y += dy; }
}
```

A join point is a point in the control flow of a program

Code Example: Figure Editor – join points for moveBy

In aspect-oriented programming a set of join points is called a pointcut



A *pointcut* designates a set of join points for any program execution.

At execution, any join point may or may not be selected by a pointcut.

Examples:

- •all calls to public methods of the Point class
- •every execution of a constructor with one int argument
- •every write access to a public field

Membership of a join point may be determined at runtime

Advice

Advice is code that should be inserted before, after or even instead of existing code at some set of join points.

`Mainstream' AOP:

•Designate sets of join points using some specification language for pointcuts.

• Declare **advice** to be executed before/after/instead of the calls/method executions/field accesses etc. selected by the pointcut.

Example

Display updating in the Figure Editor:

After every change in a FigureElement's state, update the display..

AOP implementation:

- pointcut to select every state-changing method in FigureElement classes.
- •`after'-advice which calls display update code.

Question: which design pattern could you use (instead of AOP)?

Weaving:

A program called an *aspect weaver is used to weave the advice code* into the main program code.

Often, but not always, join point membership in a pointcut can be decided statically.

=> no need to insert code at every possible join point.

Modern systems:

some do weaving and compilation in one stepsome can do weaving at runtime (e.g. on Java byte-code)

AspectJ

Extensions of the Java language for

- pointcuts
- attaching advice
- static cross-cutting

Originally developed at Xerox PARC First versions in Spring of 2000 Hosted by eclipse.org since December 2002

Download the Eclipse AJDT plugin from http://eclipse.org/ajdt/downloads/



If AspectJ is already there then you should not need to add it (but may need to update it)



Try Out The HelloWorld Example Demo:

http://www.eclipse.org/ajdt/demos/

ajdt demos

aspectJ development tools subproject



Back to demo page

Try Out The HelloWorld Example Demo:



Lets look at this together in Eclipse

Try Out The HelloWorld Example Demo:

```
package p_helloworld;
public class Hello {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        sayHello();
    }
    public static void sayHello() {
        System.out.print("Hello");
    }
}
```

Try Out The HelloWorld Example Demo:

```
package p_helloworld;
public aspect World {
pointcut greeting() : execution(*
Hello.sayHello(..));
before() : greeting() {
    System.out.println(" World!");
  }
```

}

Eclipse provides a useful cross reference window:



Experimentation

Experiment with the Demo: what will/should happen if you add another aspect to the project?

```
package p helloworld;
public aspect MethodCounter {
int counter =0;
pointcut publicMethodCall() : execution(public * *.*(..));
pointcut afterMain(): execution(public * *.main(..));
after() returning() : publicMethodCall() {
        counter++;
    }
after() returning() : afterMain() {
        System.out.println("Method call counter = "+counter);
    }
}
```

Experimentation

Experiment with the Demo: can you write an Aspect that will time the execution of each method call?

```
package p_helloworld;
public aspect Profiler{
```

This should be easy to complete. Is the on-line documentation good enough?

}

Experimentation

Experiment with the Demo: can you write an Aspect that will time the execution of each method call?

When you run the code with all 3 aspects woven together, you should get output of the following form (more or less):

```
World!
Hello
void p_helloworld.Hello.sayHello() took 26042892 nanoseconds
Method call counter = 2
void p_helloworld.Hello.main(String[]) took 32298490 nanoseconds
```

Reconsider the figure editor



Reconsider the figure editor

Primitive pointcuts:

```
call(void Point.setX(int))
```

each join point that is a call to a method that has the signature void Point.setX(int)

Also for interface signatures:

call(void FigureElement.moveBy(int, int))

Each call to the moveBy(int,int) method in a class that implements FigureElement

Reconsider the figure editor

Pointcuts can be joined using boolean operators &&, ||,!.

```
call(void Point.setX(int)) ||
call(void Point.setY(int))
```

calls to the setX and setY methods of Point.

Join points from different types possible:

```
call(void FigureElement.moveBy(int,int)) ||
call(void Point.setX(int)) ||
call(void Point.setY(int)) ||
call(void Line.setP1(Point)) ||
call(void Line.setP2(Point))
```

Any call to a state-changing method in the given FigureElement classes

Reconsider the figure editor

Named Pointcuts

Pointcuts can and should be *declared to give them a name:*

```
pointcut stateChange() :
call(void FigureElement.moveBy(int,int)) ||
call(void Point.setX(int)) ||
call(void Point.setY(int)) ||
call(void Line.setP1(Point)) ||
call(void Line.setP2(Point));
```

Analogous to method declaration or typedef in C.

After declaration, stateChange() can be used wherever a pointcut is expected.

Reconsider the figure editor

Wildcards

Method signatures can contain wildcards:

```
call(void java.io.PrintStream.println(*))
```

any PrintStream method named println returning void and taking exactly one argument of any type.

call(public * Figure.*(..))

any public method in Figure.

```
call(public * Line.set*(..))
```

any method in Line with a name starting with set.

Reconsider the figure editor

Example

The pointcut from before, using wildcards:

```
pointcut stateChange() :
call(void FigureElement.moveBy(int,int)) ||
call(* Point.set*(*)) ||
call(* Line.set*(*));
```

Reconsider the figure editor

Advice in AspectJ

Advice can be attached to join points:

```
before(): stateChange() {
  System.out.println("about to change state");
}
after() returning: stateChange() {
  System.out.println("just successfully changed state");
}
```

//After every state changing call, update the display.

```
public aspect DisplayUpdating {
  pointcut stateChange() :
  call(void FigureElement.moveBy(int,int)) ||
  call(* Point.set*(*)) || call(* Line.set*(*));
  after() returning : stateChange() {
  Display.update();
  }
}
```

QUESTION: Does this look like a good use of Aspects?

Pointcuts with Parameters

One often needs information about the context of a join point. w use pointcuts with *parameters*.

Example:

```
pointcut stateChange(FigureElement figElt) :
  target(figElt) &&
  ( call(void FigureElement.moveBy(int,int)) ||
     call(* Point.set*(*)) ||
     call(* Line.set*(*)) );
```

```
after(FigureElement fe) : stateChange(fe) {...}
```

Parameters in pointcut declaration

```
pointcut stateChange(FigureElement figElt) :
  target(figElt) &&
   ( call(void FigureElement.moveBy(int,int)) ||
     call(* Point.set*(*)) ||
     call(* Line.set*(*)) );
```

- figElt declared in 'header', together with name.
- bound by the target pointcut

target alone matches any non-static call, field access, etc. if the target type matches the declared parameter type.

Example: Diagonal Moves

Define a pointcut for moves with equal dx and dy.

```
pointcut diagHelp(int dx,int dy) :
call(void FigureElement.moveBy(int,int)) &&
args(dx,dy) &&
if(dx==dy);
```

pointcut diagMove(int dxy) : diagHelp(dxy,int);

About Conditionals

AspectJ specification:

The boolean expression used can only access static members, variables exposed by the enclosing pointcut or advice

But still. . . static methods may be called, which may have side effects!

Question: what are the consequences of this?

Before and After

```
`Before' advice:
    before(formal parameters) : Pointcut {
        . . . advice body. . .
}
```

The advice body gets executed every time just before the program flow enters a join point matched by the pointcut.

The formal parameters receive values from the pointcut

```
`After' advice:
after (formal parameters) returning : Pointcut {...}
The advice body gets executed every time just after the program flow exits a join point matched by the pointcutby returning normally.
```

Capture return value:

```
after(...) returning (int ret): Pointcut {...}
```

What about exceptions?

```
Advice after throwing an exception:
after (formal parameters) throwing : Pointcut {...}
```

Capture thrown exception:

```
after(...) throwing (Exception e): Pointcut {...}
```

```
Match normal and abrupt return:
after(...) : Pointcut {...}
```

Around Advice

Run advice instead of original code:

```
Type around(. . . ) : . . . {
    . . .
    proceed(. . . );
    . .
}
```

run advice body instead of original call, field access, method body, etc.
use proceed to use the original join point, if needed.

Hint: this may help you to write the timer Aspect asked for earlier

```
AspectJ – some details
```

```
Tracing Aspect (first try)
```

```
package tracing;
```

```
public aspect TraceAllCalls {
  pointcut pointsToTrace() :
  call(* *.*(..)) ;
```

```
before() : pointsToTrace() {
  System.err.println("Enter " + thisJoinPoint);
}
```

```
after() : pointsToTrace() {
  System.err.println("Exit " + thisJoinPoint);
```

```
QUESTION: Where is the problem?
```

```
AspectJ – some details
```

```
Tracing Aspect (first try)
```

```
package tracing;
public aspect TraceAllCalls {
pointcut pointsToTrace() :
call(* *.*(..)) && !within(TraceAllCalls);
before() : pointsToTrace() {
System.err.println("Enter " + thisJoinPoint);
after() : pointsToTrace() {
System.err.println("Exit " + thisJoinPoint);
```

QUESTION: Why do we need the within?

Exceptions in Advice

Advice body may throw exceptions:

```
before() : doingIO() {
  openOutputFile();
}
```

```
If openOutputFile() throws
java.io.IOException:
before() throws java.io.IOException :
doingIO() {
  openOutputFile();
}
```

=> IOException must be declared/handled at all places where pointcut applies.

Aspects throwing exceptions

Sometimes, an aspect can change the behaviour of methods, so that new *checked exceptions are thrown:*

Add synchronization: InterruptedExceptionExecute calls remotely: RemoteException

=>Two possibilities:

catch and handle exception directly in advice. Might not be appropriate.pass exception out of advice. Needs lots of declarations.



What happens if several pieces of advice apply at the same join point?

Assign precedence to aspects to control order of advice execution

Program Flow



Aspect Precedence (cont.)

Syntax to declare aspect precedence:

```
declare precedence : TypePattern1, TypePattern2, . . . ;
```

May occur in any aspect.

Says that anything matching type pattern 1 has higher precedence than anything matching type pattern 2, etc.

```
aspect CyclicPrecedence {
  declare precedence : AspectA, AspectB;
  declare precedence : AspectB, AspectA;
 }
```

OK iff aspects share no join points.

Aspect Precedence (cont.)

If not declared, implicit rule for inheritance:

If AspectA extends AspectB, then AspectA has higher priority.

 \Rightarrow possible to overrule advice from super-aspect.

If still not declared, implicit rule for advice within one aspect:

If either are after advice, then the one that appears later in the aspect has precedence over the one that appears earlier.
Otherwise, then the one that appears earlier in the aspect has precedence over the one that appears later.

=> first do something in the same order as they appear in the source

Abstract Aspects

Reminder: aspects can •extend classes •extend *abstract aspects* •implement interfaces

Abstract aspects may contain

- abstract methods, like abstract classes
- abstract pointcut declarations

Abstract aspects are the key to writing *reusable aspects*.

Aspect Instantiation

At runtime, aspects have fields like objects.

When do they get instantiated? Usually: Instantiate an aspect once per program execution.

```
aspect Id {...}
aspect Id issingleton {...}
```

Implemented as singleton => static field in aspect class.

NOTE: Things are actually much more complicated when we consider all the different ways in which Java objects (including Aspects) are instantiated

Privileged Aspects

Usually, advice code has no access to private members of advised classes.

(Note that matching in pointcuts *does see private members*)

But the privileged keyword can help:

```
privileged public aspect A {
  before(MyFriend f) : this(f) && ... {
   System.out.println("My best friends secret: " +
  f._privateField);
  }
}
```

AspectJ – profiling problem

Return to the profiling problem we looked at in previous class

TO DO: Implement 3 or more interesting profiling aspects and test on different programs

Practical Work For Next Class: Invariant Testing

Write an aspect that tests the invariant of a class every time a method is executed, and writes to an invariant log file whether the test passes or fails.