# **Cyber-Physical Resilient Systems**

From Malware & Operational Security to Feedback Truthfulness Distinguishability

#### Joaquin Garcia-Alfaro

Institut Mines-Télécom (Télécom SudParis) & Université Paris-Saclay

ETIC-UPF Seminars, Barcelona, 01/03/2018

# Today's Talk: Cyber-Physical Resilience

- Cyber-Physical Systems\*
  - ICT components monitoring & controlling physical resources
  - Physical & ICT elements that interact with humans



\* H. Gill, National Science Foundation, 2006.

## Today's Talk: Cyber-Physical Resilience

#### Subtitle was:

#### From Malware & Operational Security to Feedback Truthfulness Distinguishability

### Malware & Operational Security



operations of the targeted system. Then it

uses the information it has gathered to

take control of the centrifuges, making

them spin themselves to failure.

The worm then compromises the target system's logic controllers, exploiting "zero day" vulnerabilitiessoftware weaknesses that haven't been identified by security experts.



back to outside controllers, ensur-

ing that they won't know what's

## Malware & Operational Security



## In addition to malware ...

- Malware moving from IT
   Systems to Operational Systems
- Wrong configurations, lack of encryption, legacy (vulnerable) systems, *intentionality*...





## IT & OT together ...



#### Plus

- Reliability,
- Safety,
- Performance, ...

| Asset to protect: | Information                    | Process                        |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Priority          | IT Systems                     | MTUs to I/O                    |
| #1                | <u><b>C</b></u> onfidentiality | <u>A</u> vailability           |
| #2                | <u>I</u> ntegrity              | Integrity                      |
| #3                | <u>A</u> vailability           | <b><u>C</u></b> onfidentiality |

[1] HIRSCHMANN, Why is Cyber Security Still a Problem? TOFINO Security Series



- ...

### **Dynamic Risk Assessment example**

- Prevent threats (e.g., preempt exploitation of vulnerabilities)
- Use of Attack & Mission Graphs to support network administrators towards semi-automated decisions



IT Security Oriented

OT Security Oriented

#### http://j.mp/DRDMS

# Outline

- Experience & Context
  - Cyber-Physical Systems
- Feedback Truthfulness (FT)
- Ongoing Work on FT Distinguishability
- Summary & Perspectives

## The key ingredient in a CPS: Control

- **Control** means making a (dynamical) system to work as required
- **Feedback** is used to compute a corrective **control action** based on the distance between a *reference signal* and the *system output*



• Examples: dynamically follow a trajectory (robotics), regulate a temperature, regulate the sending rate of a TCP sender (TCP cong. control), controlling a pendulum in its unstable equilibrium, etc.

## **Networked Control System**

• From a methodological standpoint, we can model a CPS using a Network Control System (NCS)

#### NCS definition

Control system whose control loops are connected through a communication network



### **Traditional Issues Studied in the NCS Literature**

- Stabilizing a system under network delays & packet losses
- Techniques to limit data rate (e.g., from control to plant)
- Energy efficient networking for Wireless NCS
- Security?
  - Since the *stuxnet* incident, the control community seems to be heavily working as well on security issues of NCSs & CPSs
  - Control-theoretic security taxonomies?

#### **Sample Attacks\***



\* A secure control framework for resource-limited adversaries. Texeira et al., Automatica, 51(1):135-148, 2015.

### **Replay Attack**



- Step 1: Sensors output is recorded
- Step 2: Recorded sensors output is replayed and sent to the controller
- Step 3: A control signal is sent to disrupt system functionalities

### **Prevention & Mitigation of CPS Attacks**

- A well-designed control system shall resist external disturbances (failures & attacks), to a certain degree
- Several control-theoretic techniques to prevent cyber-physical attacks have been proposed in the literature\*
- Most of the techniques aim at injecting authentication to the control signal & discover anomalous measurements
  - E.g., use a noisy control authentication signal to detect integrity attacks on sensor measurements
  - In the following, we elaborate further on the aforementioned technique

\* A survey on the security of cyber-physical systems. Wu, Sun, and Chen. Control Theory and Technology, 14(1):2–10, February 2016.

# Watermark Approach by Mo et al.

#### Idea [Mo et al., 2009, 2015]

Adding a watermark signal to the control signal which serves as an authentication signal

- Conceptually similar to a challenge-response authentication scheme
- In this case the watermark is the challenge the response is the sensor output
- Main advantages:
  - Only the controller has to be changed
  - It does not require encryption

\* Physical Authentication of Control Systems. Mo, Weerakkody and Sinopoli. IEEE Control Systems, Vol. 35, pages 93–109, 2015.

#### In a nutshell ...



- **Challenge-Response** (slight modification of normal behavior w.r.t. system dynamics)
  - Control Theory & LTI models (*linear time invariant models*)
- Challenge:  $u_t$ ; Response:  $y_t$

Then, statistical analysis w.r.t. 
$$u_t \& y_t$$
:  

$$g_t = \sum_{i=t-w+1}^t (y_i - C\hat{x}_{i|i-1})^T \mathcal{P}^{-1}(y_i - C\hat{x}_{i|i-1})$$

If  $g_t$  exceeds the threshold  $\rightarrow$  raise alert

<sup>[\*]</sup> Garcia-Alfaro *et al.*, « Cyber-Physical Attacks & Watermark-based Detection », *11th Intl. ARES Conference*, *Best Paper Award*, Aug 2016 ; & Keynote ESORICS 2016 workshops, Sep 2016

## **Initial Motivations**

- Malware moving from IT Systems to Operational Systems
- Wrong configurations, lack of encryption, legacy (vulnerable) systems, third party access, ...





#### **Proposed Methodology**

- Foster new theoretical models,
- simulate/emulate case scenarios,
- validate results using training & testbeds

#### **Preparing the Testbeds**



## **SCADA Protocols (non exhaustive list)**

- Siemens quad 4 meter
- **CONITEL 2000**
- **CONITEL 2100**
- **CONITEL 3000**
- **CONITEL 300**
- HARRIS 5000
- HARRIS 5600
- HARRIS 6000
- UCA 2.0 or MM§
- PG & E 2179
- MODBUS
- | DNP3

. . .

• IEC 61850

#### Sample protocols

- MODBUS -Primitive with no security and not very extensible
- DNP3 –Advanced SCADA protocol
  DNP1 and 2 are proprietary protocols

### **Sample Testbeds**



(a) Bridge and toll testbed



(c) Railway control testbed



(b) Industrial chain testbed



(d) Autonomous industrial agents testbed

http://j.mp/TSPScada

### Sample Testbed (autonomous agents testbed)



Cyber-physical industrial scenario implemented in the testbed

#### **Attacks & Adversaries Implemented**

- Replay Attack
  - Watermark Disabled
  - Watermark Enabled
- Non-parametric Attack
  - Stationary Watermark
  - Non-stationary Watermark
- Parametric Attack
  - Stationary Watermark
  - Non-stationary Watermark
- New Parametric Attack
  - PIETC-WD strategy

#### http://j.mp/TSPScada

#### **Linear & Polar Representation**









**Under Attack** 

### **Testbed Validation**

- Modeled as games?
  - http://j.mp/WikiGTP
- Defender
  - Avoid collisions
- Attacker
  - Force collisions



#### http://j.mp/TSPScada

# Outline

- Experience & Context
  - Cyber-Physical Systems
- Feedback Truthfulness (FT)
- Ongoing Work on FT Distinguishability
- Summary & Perspectives

# Feedback Truthfulness Distinguishability

- Distinguishing accidental failures and intentional manipulation
- Top-down refinement of automated runtime verification



#### Feedback Truthfulness Distinguishability



# Feedback Truthfulness Distinguishability



# Outline

- Experience & Context
  - Cyber-Physical Systems
- Feedback Truthfulness (FT)
- Ongoing Work on FT Distinguishability
- Summary & Perspectives

# Summary

- Challenging, multidisciplinary topic
  - Dynamic (networked-control) systems & data truthfulness
- Traditional ICT-based security may still be applicable
  - However, they cannot solve the problem completely
    - Fundamental differences between IT systems & CPSs
- Modeling, from a control-theoretic perspective, shall
  - Pay attention to adversary strategies from the attacker's angle
  - Assume attackers with knowledge about information systems & physical systems at the same time
- Perspectives
  - Automated techniques for the verification of feedback truthfulness distinguishability is a *must*

# **Thank You. Questions?**

#### References

- Hirschmann. Why is Cyber Security Still a Problem? *TOFINO Security Series*, 2010
- Kim & Kumar. Cyber–Physical Systems: A Perspective at the Centennial. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, Vol. 100, pages 1287-1308, May 2012.
- Krotofil & Larsen. Hacking Chemical Plants for Competition and Extortion, *DefCon23*, 2015
- Texeira et al. A secure control framework for resource-limited adversaries. *Automatica*, 51(1): 135-148, 2015.
- Wu, Sun & Chen. A survey on the security of cyber-physical systems. *Control Theory and Technology*, 14(1):2–10, February 2016.
- Rubio, De Cicco, & Garcia-Alfaro. Revisiting a Watermark-based Detection Scheme to Handle Cyber-Physical Attacks. *ARES 2016*, (*best paper award*), August 2016.
- Mo, Weerakkody & Sinopoli. Physical Authentication of Control Systems. *IEEE Control Systems*, Vol. 35, pages 93–109, 2015.